

Milford Township

From: Robert DiLorenzo [dilo295@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 3:19 PM
To: Rachel Hendricks; Milford Township
Subject: Sewage Stockholders meeting 12-13-21
Attachments: Sewage Stockholders Meeting Report 12-13-21.doc; Sewage Stockholders Meeting Agenda 12-13-21.pdf

Here's my report and a copy of the agenda from the meeting. Shahana please make sure Gary & Penney get a copy. Thank You



Virus-free. www.avg.com

MEETING AGENDA- DEP LETTER RESPONSE

Eastern Pk. & York Reg. Mill & 577 Ave

Milford Borough Final Dec. 2012

DECEMBER 13, 2012

HRG { MARK SPATE
 CORY SALMON
 MATTHEW ROBERTS
 FRANK TINGUCCI
 JOE DOOLEY
 MIK MIROSLAW
 DAVE CLARK
 PAUL FISHER

DEP Administrative & Compliance Letter

- Overview of the DEP Letter
- Overall Project Timeline
- Draft Responses to DEP
 - Items that can be addressed without further commitment

Key Decisions and Commitments

- New Adoption Ordinances
 - Stronger language with commitment to alternative and implementation schedule.
- Implementation Schedule
 - Stricter schedule with more milestones
 - Accelerated timeline
- Inter-municipal Sewer Agreement
 - Milford Township's Role WITHIN 2 YRS.
 - Tapping Fees 1600 WESTALL WHOLESALE
- Potential Well Supplement 9 IN TWSP 5 need to BE SAMPLED (FEES NOT INCLUDED) TESTING PERMISSIO??
- Additional Comment Period TOWNSHIP TO GET PERMISSIO FROM PROP OWNER

Items to be Completed by next meeting

- HRG Items to be Complete
- Municipality Items to be Completed
- Response to DEP

INTERMUNICIPAL AGREEMENT To be Handled By Solicitors

NO HRG FOR CHANGES FOR DEP
 PUBLIC MEETINGS NOT IN SCOPE
 ANSWERING PUBLIC QUESTIONS NOT IN SCOPE
 ✓ HRG TAKING A HIT ON PROJECT
 ACCEPTANCE BY DEP END OF SCOPE
 ? ON FUNDING BY COUNTY

HRG Sewer Meeting

12/13/2021

Attendees:

DEP Communications: Scott

Mark Spatz – HRG
Cory Salmon – HRG
Matthew Roberts – HRG

Frank Tarquinio – Milford Borough
Joe Dooley – Milford Borough
Dave Clark – Matamoras Borough
Paul Fisher – Westfall Township
Bob DiLorenzo – Milford Township
Mike Mrozinski

We started out with discussion about the lengthy amount of time the DEP took reviewing the submission and how they went beyond the allotted amount of time.

We further discussed about the TAR not being responded to. This needs to be approved before funding. When the DEP was told about the funding their response was (It hasn't been funded in years)

Discussed how This process started May 1st 2019 – The TAR was submitted May 30th 2019 and Re-submitted Digitally on June 1st 2020 – The meeting with DEP was Dec. 6th 2019.

Lisa Bakers office has been contacted but no response as of yet.

Much discussion from HRG about the DEP's letter not being very Professional and how their items are very easy to address.

Discussion on whether to fix these items in the current submission or submit an entire new submission. HRG stated this is not their plan but is designed by input from the municipalities stake holders.

There was some discussion about Milford Township pulling out of the entire project. Either way would we give Permission to the Milford Municipal Authority to Maintain the pipe and handle any hook ups in the township and how if not participating the line may need a upgrade at Twp cost.

There was talk from HRG that Milford Township had system failures. (I don't recall any) and that **Milford Township had adopted the Act 537 plan through Resolution.** So with their failing systems why would they back out. I said I don't believe we adopted the Act 537 plan and they said we absolutely did.

The statement was made that Westfall Municipal Authority would control who has to connect and who doesn't. But all commercial would have to.

There is currently a grant for Delaware Valley School to connect that may expire soon. They would like to move forward on this and try to keep the grant in good standing.

The 2 types of fees would be tapping fees or Reserved capacity fees.

More discussion on how the Act 537 Plan needs to be approved before any funding will occur.

The question came up from the Borough, what if we moved forward with the deemed approval? HRG answered, we could politically be shooting ourselves in the foot. We have many permits to get from the DEP like- NPDES & Stream Crossing, Etc. It would also take Special Council to handle that type of case, it wouldn't be for the local solicitors.

HRG projects the Act 537 Plan to be completed by the end of 2022

They discussed how funding would depend on the municipalities have a mandatory connection ordinance.

Discussion on if someone wanted to connect in Milford Township they would have to submit a sewage module to the municipality for approval. They could say yes or no. It would be up to the property owner to pay all the connection fees.

The tapping fees would be \$1,600.00 that would be collected by Westfall Municipal Authority Tapping fees in Milford would be covered by the funding on the first round, after that it would be at the expense of the property owner. The Borough would cover Labor & Materials in the Monthly fee.

There are 9 wells in the target area in Milford Township. 5 of which need to be tested. I asked if that included Wheatfield Village? Their response was they didn't know about that one, it wasn't on the list they received. So now its 6. Milford Borough Stated that they have a water line there and could easily be connected. My statement was you've bee trying for years. Ther was a question about if Milford Township would help get permission from the property owners to have their wells tested free of charge. (community wells are usually overseen and tested by the DEP, like Wheatfield). Discussion about any wells with water treatment are considered future failures.

It was suggested by HRS to have another meeting with the DEP for good faith showing. As per DEP have a advertised public meeting for all residents for information & Q&A

There were questions about asking the county for more funding.

Statements Made by HRG:

Their scope of work is to get this plan accepted by DEP, No additional fees till then.

HRG is taking a hit on this project

Public Meeting and answering public questions not in the scope of work, additional fees.

The Agenda is with this report (Sorry for the writing on it)